


From: [David Bussard](#)
To: [Ravi Subramaniam/DC/USEPA/US@EPA](#)
Cc: [Chao Chen](#)
Subject: Re: ACC Bottoms Up
Date: 12/03/2012 10:29 PM

Ravi and Chao,

(b) (5)



David

▼ Ravi Subramaniam---12/03/2012 04:23:29 PM---From: Ravi Subramaniam/DC/USEPA/US To: David Bussard/DC/USEPA/US@EPA

From: Ravi Subramaniam/DC/USEPA/US
To: David Bussard/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
Cc: Chao Chen/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 12/03/2012 04:23 PM
Subject: Re: ACC Bottoms Up

David:

Your email makes me suspect either you may missed the relevant attachment I sent with our meeting Agenda OR you believe that is

(b) (5)

(b) (5)

If you did not read that attachment, please look at this revised one now. Would be good to have comments from you and Chao. (Converted to pdf since the word file moves the figures around every time I open it.) (I should add that one of the questions Starr had when I explained your exercise to him was whether we could actually show that with their adduct data; he was willing to accept it in theory.)

Ravi.
NCEA-Washington, ORD, EPA
(703) 347-8606, (301) 515-2701 (alternate office)

-----David Bussard/DC/USEPA/US wrote: -----

To: Chao Chen/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Ravi

Subramaniam/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
From: David Bussard/DC/USEPA/US
Date: 12/03/2012 11:29AM
Subject: ACC Bottoms Up

(b) (5)

David[attachment "Attachment D.pdf" deleted by David
Bussard/DC/USEPA/US]